



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/789,440	. 0	2/26/2004	Mathilde C. Brown	MS1-1494US 5678		
22801	7590	09/16/2005		EXAMINER		
LEE & HA		C VENUE SUITE 500	PALADINI, ALBERT WILLIAM			
SPOKANE,				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				2125		

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Annii antian Na	Applicant(s)	
1		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Commons		10/789,440	BROWN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u>'</u>		Albert W. Paladini	2125	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
WHIC - Exten after S - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Form</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowa	action is non-final.	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	on of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. is have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment	c(s)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/789,440

Art Unit: 2125

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

Claim 6

The claim recites a general objective of "facilitating design of a scale-invariant virtual data center" and provides a narrative description of some elements involved, but the claim does not recite a logical, sequential methodology.

Although the specification provides a dictionary for the claims, and the claims may be broader than the specification; each claim must be complete and self consistent in itself. For a structural claim, the recitation must describe clearly how all the elements are physically connected together. For a functional claim, the recitation must describe clearly how the elements are physically connected together, and in addition, the sequential logical operation of the element working cooperatively together must be understood. For a method claim, the recitation must describe a sequential operation where each step further limits the previous step. In addition, even though the method claim is procedural, each step must be supported with sufficient physical means for accomplishing the step.

Appropriate correction and clarification is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,440

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 6-13, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hunt (6907395.

On lines 28 through 44 in column 2, Hunt discloses a design tool and a modeling system, which provides service definitions for the functionality of a distributed application in a scale invariant manner.

5. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kubota (6868454).

From line 66 in column 6 to cline 19 in column 7, Kubota discloses implementation of distributed system architecture. Kubota does not explicitly utilize the terminology service definition model. However, the service definition model is implicit in Kubota's disclosure, since Kubota explains that the system defines information on the types of objects and the interfaces. The description of Kubota's system includes all of the functions performed by a service definition model.

On lines 20-41 in column 25, Kubota discloses the process of tracking instances for the deployment and management of distributed applications.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,440

Art Unit: 2125

On lines 30-43 in column 3, Kubota teaches the use of Application Programming Interfaces for implementing services.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 14-22 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: None of the references cited or the art searched disclose or teach alone or in combination the method of facilitating the design of the virtual design center and the distributed application using the specific methodology recited in claims 14 and 18.

None of the references cited or the art searched disclose or teach alone or in combination the architecture used for distributed applications consisting of the specific layers and functions of each layer as recited in claim 22.

Relevant Prior Art

Pancha (6823373) discloses a mobile communication system where a system server hosts an enterprise application on a distributed database at a secure data center and interfaces to enterprise data through a firewall and virtual private network.

Hinson (6829770) discloses an object based event communication system with an object services component, entitled "COM+," of an operating system, and entitled "Microsoft Windows NT Server 5 Briefly described, this software is a scaleable, high-performance network and computer operating system supporting distributed client/server computing, and providing an object execution environment for component applications conforming to COM. The COM+

Art Unit: 2125

component incorporates object services that implement the illustrated publish and subscribe event communication model according to the invention.

Kuhn (6848109) discloses a system for the coordination of distributed programs, services and data by using application programs in a network of computers where coordination servers are running which serve local software systems, where shared objects are used as communication objects to exchange messages and transactions are used to realize communication, and where the communication objects are uniquely identified by object identification numbers and only processes possessing a reference to a communication object are granted access to it via the corresponding local coordination server.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be direct to Albert W. Paladini whose telephone number is (571) 272-3748. The examiner can normally be reached from 7:00 to 3:00 PM on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Leo P. Picard, can be reached on (571) 272-3749. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

September 13, 2005

Albert W. Paladini Primary Examiner Art Unit 2125